

**MINUTES OF THE  
NASH COUNTY PLANNING BOARD MEETING  
HELD MONDAY, MAY 18, 2020 AT 6:30 P.M.  
FREDERICK B. COOPER COMMISSIONERS ROOM  
CLAUDE MAYO, JR. ADMINISTRATION BUILDING – THIRD FLOOR  
120 WEST WASHINGTON STREET  
NASHVILLE, NC 27856**

**BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT**

Leonard Breedlove, Chairman  
Jeffrey Tobias, Vice-Chairman  
Moses Brown, Jr.  
Sandra Edwards  
Jimmy Glover  
DeLeon Parker, Jr.  
Chris Sandifer  
Kevin Smith

**BOARD MEMBERS ABSENT**

Barbara Pulley

**STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT**

Adam Tyson, Planning Director  
Adam Culpepper, Senior Planner  
Windy Braswell, Planning Technician  
Jessica Flores, Planning Technician

**OTHERS PRESENT**

Michael Fox, Tuggle Duggins  
Phillip Martin, Ecoplexus Inc.  
Yvonne N. Pridgen  
John Shepherd, Jr.  
Linda Jordan Shepherd  
Donald E. Tyler  
Cecil T. Williams Jr., C. T. Williams Corporation

**1. Call to Order.**

Chairman Breedlove called the meeting to order at 6:30 p.m.

**2. Determination of a Quorum.**

Chairman Breedlove recognized a quorum.

**3. Approval of the Minutes of the March 16, 2020 Regular Meeting.**

The minutes of the March 16, 2020 regular meeting were sent to each member of the Board for review. Chairman Breedlove asked for any revisions or corrections. None were offered.

**BOARD ACTION: Mr. Sandifer offered a motion, which was duly seconded by Mr. Glover, to approve the minutes of the March 16, 2020 regular meeting as submitted. The motion was unanimously carried.**

**4. Conditional Use Permit CU-200101 Amendment Request made by Fresh Air Energy XXIII LLC on behalf of the property owner, Bass Family LLC, to authorize the expansion of the previously approved East Nash PV1 photovoltaic solar farm project to include the East Nash PV3 photovoltaic solar farm as well, which is to be located on an additional approximately 33.6 acre portion of the adjacent tract of land at 1050 Bass Rd, Nashville, NC 27856 in the A1 (Agricultural) Zoning District.**

Chairman Breedlove recognized Mr. Tyson to present the staff report for this item.

Mr. Tyson presented the staff report and supplemental materials related to the request to amend Conditional Use Permit CU-200101 as submitted to the Board in the May 18, 2020 Nash County Planning Board agenda document. He noted that the Nash County Technical Review Committee (TRC) considered the request to amend Conditional Use Permit CU-200101 on April 30, 2020 and recommended approval based on suggested conclusions with supporting findings of fact.

Chairman Breedlove asked if there had been any public opposition expressed with regard to the request.

Mr. Tyson replied that there had been none.

Mr. Sandifer disclosed to the Board that the developer of the project, Ecoplexus Inc., was one of his clients and that he had previously discussed the project with the landowner, however, he stated that he had no financial interest in the outcome of the project and offered to recuse himself from the decision on this agenda item at the discretion of the Board in order to prevent any potential conflict.

Chairman Breedlove expressed his support for Mr. Sandifer's continued participation in the decision on this agenda item and asked if there were any objections from the rest of the Board. There were none.

Attorney Michael Fox with Tuggle Duggins addressed the Board on behalf of the applicant in support of the request. He presented updated appraisal information which included the additional land area to be included in the proposed solar farm facility.

Mr. Phillip Martin, Director of Community Engagement with Ecoplexus Inc., addressed the Board on behalf of the applicant in support of the request. He explained that the proposed solar farm project actually began development in 2013 and was intended to be constructed in two phases with the first phase to tentatively begin construction in late 2020 or early 2021.

**BOARD ACTION:** Mr. Parker offered a motion, which was duly seconded by Mr. Sandifer, to recommend the following conclusions with supporting findings of fact for approval of the request to amend Conditional Use Permit CU-200101 for consideration by the Nash County Board of Commissioners:

- (1) The proposed development meets all the standards required by the Nash County Unified Development Ordinance, including the specific requirements of Article XI, Section 11-4, Subsection 11-4.72(a) for solar farm facilities because the subject property is located in the A1 (Agricultural) Zoning District and the expanded East Nash PV3 portion of the solar farm facility is proposed to be constructed to the same design standards as the previously approved East Nash PV1 portion of the facility.
- (2) The proposed development will not materially endanger the public health or safety because there is no evidence that the expanded East Nash PV3 portion of the solar farm facility will pose any unique threat not already considered in relation to the previously approved East Nash PV1 portion of the facility.
- (3) The proposed development will not substantially injure the value of adjoining or abutting property because the applicant has submitted a revised appraisal impact assessment prepared for the entire expanded East Nash PV1 and PV3 solar farm facility by Richard C. Kirkland, Jr., MAI of Kirkland Appraisals, LLC dated April 16, 2020, which concludes that in his professional opinion, "the solar farm proposed at the subject property will have no impact on the value of adjoining or abutting property."
- (4) The proposed development will be in harmony with the area in which it is to be located because the applicant has submitted a revised appraisal impact assessment prepared for the entire expanded East Nash PV1 and PV3 solar farm facility by Richard C. Kirkland, Jr., MAI of Kirkland Appraisals, LLC dated April 16, 2020, which concludes that in his professional opinion, "the proposed use is in harmony with the area in which it is located" due to the potential positive implications of solar farms for nearby residents including "protection from future development of residential developments or other more intrusive uses, reduced dust, odor and chemicals from former farming operations, protection from light pollution at night, it's quiet, and there is no traffic."
- (5) The proposed development will be in general conformity with the Nash County Land Development Plan because the subject property is designated as Suburban Growth Area and solar farm facilities have previously been determined to be compatible with the Suburban Growth Area because they are a relatively low-intensity land use that does not require public infrastructure services (water supply or wastewater disposal) and that provides a renewable, sustainable alternative source of energy to benefit the community.

The motion was unanimously carried.

**BOARD ACTION:** Mr. Sandifer offered a motion, which was duly seconded by Mr. Parker, to recommend approval of the request to amend Conditional Use Permit CU-200101 to expand the previously approved East Nash PV1 photovoltaic solar farm to include the proposed East Nash PV3 addition for consideration by the Nash County Board of Commissioners, subject to the following addition to Condition #5:

A Certificate of Compliance shall be issued by the Nash County Environmental Health Division prior to the construction of the solar farm facility in order to ensure the protection of any existing on-site well or septic system serving the residential dwelling located on the subject property at 1050 Bass Road, Nashville, NC 27856.

**The motion was unanimously carried.**

- 5. General Rezoning Request Z-200501 made by the C. T. Williams Corporation, the property owner, to rezone three tracts of land totaling approximately 81 acres, located on both sides of Stoney Hill Church Road, both sides of Chapman Road, and the east side of Juniper Road from R-40 (Single-Family Residential) and RA-30 (Single-Family Residential) to RA-20 (Medium Density Residential).**

Chairman Breedlove recognized Mr. Tyson to present the staff report for this item.

Mr. Tyson noted to the Board that Mr. Glover was one of the owners of a tract of land located immediately adjacent to the property that is the subject of this rezoning request. However, he stated that in the opinion of the County Attorney, this did not present a conflict of interest for Mr. Glover's participation in the decision on this agenda item.

Mr. Glover offered to recuse himself from the decision on this agenda item at the discretion of the Board in order to prevent any potential conflict.

Chairman Breedlove asked if there were any objections from the rest of the Board to Mr. Glover's continued participation in the decision on this agenda item. There were none.

Mr. Tyson presented the staff report and supplemental materials related to General Rezoning Request Z-200501 as submitted to the Board in the May 18, 2020 Nash County Planning Board agenda document. He noted that the Nash County Technical Review Committee (TRC) considered General Rezoning Request Z-200501 on April 30, 2020 and recommended denial based on the previous denial by the Board of Commissioners on October 7, 2019 of a request to rezone a 24 acre tract (including the approximately 12 acre tract which is the subject of the current request) to the proposed RA-20 (Medium Density Residential) Zoning District, due to the Board's determination that the RA-30 (Single-Family Residential) Zoning District was more appropriate and compatible with the predominantly rural and low-density development conditions of the surrounding area (Case File #Z-190601) and the previous denial by the Board of Commissioners on December 2, 2019 of a request to rezone four tracts totaling approximately 163 acres (including the approximately 11 and 58 acre tracts which are the subject of the current request) to the RA-30 (Single-Family Residential) Zoning District, due to the Board's determination that the request was not reasonable at that time until the adjacent 24 acre tract previously rezoned to the RA-30 Zoning District was further developed in order to demonstrate its compatibility with the surrounding area (Case File #Z-191101.)

Chairman Breedlove asked if any members of the public were present at the meeting to oppose the request.

Mr. Tyson answered that there were none present.

Mr. Sandifer asked what the resulting increase in the possible number of housing units to be developed on the subject property would be if the rezoning request were approved.

Mr. Tyson replied that the proposed rezoning could hypothetically almost double the number of potential lots that could be subdivided from the subject property; however, in reality that number would be limited by the land area required for the construction of interior access roads as well as portions of the land that would not be suitable for development.

Mr. Smith asked about any improvements that may be required to Chapman Road prior to the further development of the subject property.

Mr. Tyson explained that Chapman Road is a dirt road that is already a state-maintained public right-of-way adopted by the N.C. Department of Transportation prior to the enactment of the current public road construction standards. He noted that these roads are paved by NCDOT as allowed by available funding, however, that funding is currently very limited due to the COVID-19 pandemic. The NCDOT District Engineer has indicated to the TRC that negotiations with the developer regarding both widening and paving the right-of-way are ongoing prior to the issuance of any additional residential driveway permits.

The Board members engaged in a general discussion regarding the extent of development of the previously rezoned tract of land that may be required in order to satisfy the previous decision of the Board of Commissioners as well as the appropriate permitted lot size for the subject property without the cluster development option.

Mr. Tyson read an email received from Mrs. Lane Schroeder and Mr. Fred Schroeder submitted to the Board on behalf of L & F Farms LLC, the owner of a tract of land immediately adjacent to the subject property, in support of the rezoning request.

Mr. Cecil T. Williams, Jr. of the C. T. Williams Corporation, the applicant, addressed the Board in support of the request. He presented a real estate listing for a home similar to the type of dwelling that is intended for construction on the subject property. He reported that of the previously subdivided sixteen lots, four homes are currently under construction, three additional homes are set to begin construction within the next week, and the remaining lots are under contract. He also reminded the Board of the recent repeal of the former watershed restrictions that required lot sizes of 40,000 square feet or more in this area.

Vice-Chairman Tobias asked who the homebuilder for the development was.

Mr. Williams answered that the homebuilder was Mr. Julian Cameron of JEC Construction, Inc. in Zebulon. He noted that all of the builders interested in this development have been from Wake County.

Mr. Sandifer asked about the number of lots expected to be subdivided from the subject property.

Mr. Williams replied that he anticipated the creation of approximately 100 lots due to wetlands located on the rear of the subject property. He also questioned the NCDOT's reluctance to issue driveway permits along the existing dirt road, but noted that he does intend to pave Chapman Road as well as any interior access roads. He expressed his frustration that Nash County requires such large lot sizes in this area when current homebuyers are not interested in large yards that require a lot of lawn maintenance.

Mr. Brown asked Mr. Williams about the size of the lot related to the real estate listing that he had presented to the Board.

Mr. Williams answered that the lot was one-half acre in size.

The Board members engaged in a general discussion with Mr. Williams regarding appropriate lot sizes and the adjacent common area lot created in relation to Phase I of the Williams Ridge Subdivision.

Mr. Williams noted that the common area lot would include a playground area as well as a shared cluster mailbox. He explained that if the adjacent tract of land remains zoned RA-30, then he would continue to utilize the cluster development option to subdivide 20,000 square foot lots and to combine all the wooded area into the common area. However, if the property is rezoned to RA-20 as requested, then the wooded areas could be incorporated into larger lots.

The Board members discussed the extent of the current development of the adjacent subdivision and whether it was sufficient to demonstrate its compatibility with the surrounding area as established by the Board of Commissioners upon their denial of the previous rezoning request for the subject property.

Mr. Glover asked Mr. Williams if the rezoning request were to be approved, then in six months' time, would only lots along the already existing road frontage be developed.

Mr. Williams responded that there was no way that he could only develop lots along the already existing road frontage.

**BOARD ACTION: Mr. Parker offered a motion, which was duly seconded by Mr. Sandifer, to recommend the following consistency statement related to General Rezoning Request Z-200501 for consideration by the Nash County Board of Commissioners:**

**General Rezoning Request Z-200501 is:**

- (1) Reasonable and in the public interest.**
- (2) Consistent with the recommendations of the Nash County Land Development Plan (LDP) because:**
  - (a) The LDP designates the subject property as Suburban Growth Area.**
  - (b) The LDP supports the rezoning of property located within the Suburban Growth Area to either the RA-30 (Single-Family Residential) or the RA-20 (Medium Density Residential) Zoning Districts where public water service is available and where the soil conditions are suitable to accommodate the installation of on-site septic systems.**
  - (c) The subject property has access to Nash County public water service via existing four-inch waterlines installed along the immediately adjacent Stoney Hill Church Road and Chapman Road public rights-of-way as well as a two-inch waterline recently installed by the property owner along the Juniper Road public right-of-way. Furthermore, the Nash County Public Utilities Department has determined that the existing water system has sufficient capacity to accommodate the residential development of the subject property at the proposed density.**
  - (d) The soil conditions of the majority of the subject property as observed by the Nash County Environmental Health Division appear generally sandy, well drained, and favorable for the installation of on-site septic systems.**
  - (e) Permitting higher density residential development will help to accommodate the significant residential growth anticipated by the LDP for the Suburban Growth Area.**
- (3) Reasonable "spot zoning" because:**
  - (a) The large size of the subject property (totaling approximately 81 acres) demonstrates that approval of the request will establish a new zoning district within which multiple residential lots may be subdivided, rather than creating a single, small, isolated area.**
  - (b) The subject property has unique access to existing public water service and its soil conditions are favorable for the installation of on-site septic systems.**

- (c) The request is consistent with the recommendations of the Nash County Land Development Plan as established above.
- (d) The subject property already is and will continue to be zoned for residential use.
- (e) The RA-20 (Medium Density Residential) Zoning District will allow higher density residential development, however, it is also more restrictive in terms of permitted land uses than either of the two current zoning districts.

The motion was unanimously carried.

**BOARD ACTION:** Mr. Parker offered a motion, which was duly seconded by Ms. Edwards, to recommend approval of General Rezoning Request Z-200501 to rezone approximately 81 acres located on both sides of Stoney Hill Church Road, both sides of Chapman Road, and the east side of Juniper Road from R-40 (Single-Family Residential) and RA-30 (Single-Family Residential) to RA-20 (Medium Density Residential) for consideration by the Nash County Board of Commissioners.

The motion was unanimously carried.

6. General Rezoning Request Z-200502 made by the C. T. Williams Corporation and Fairfield Realty Down East LLC, the property owners, to rezone two adjacent tracts of land totaling approximately 66 acres and located on both sides of Jordan Road from R-20 (Medium Density Residential) and R-20-CU (Medium Density Residential Conditional Use) to R-10 (High Density Residential).

Chairman Breedlove recognized Mr. Tyson to present the staff report for this item.

Mr. Tyson presented the staff report and supplemental materials related to General Rezoning Request Z-200502 as submitted to the Board in the May 18, 2020 Nash County Planning Board agenda document. He noted that the Nash County Technical Review Committee (TRC) considered General Rezoning Request Z-200502 on April 30, 2020 and recommended denial based on the previous denial by the Board of Commissioners on August 6, 2018 of a request to rezone a 48 acre tract (including the approximately 44 acre tract which is the subject of the current request) to the R-15 (Medium Density Residential) Zoning District, due to the Board's determination that the 15,000 square foot minimum lot area required by the proposed R-15 Zoning District would permit residential development too dense to be compatible with the 20,000 to 30,000 square foot minimum lot areas required by the surrounding R-20 and R-30 Zoning Districts (Case File #Z-180701) and the fact that the reduced 10,000 square foot minimum lot area requirement and the 75 foot minimum lot width requirement of the currently proposed R-10 (High Density Residential) Zoning District would permit residential development even more dense than the previously denied R-15 Zoning District.

He further explained that the TRC also noted that a conditional use rezoning request (as opposed to a general rezoning request) may be more appropriate for high density residential development because it would permit the attachment of specific zoning conditions that could restrict the development of particular land uses and/or restrict the developer to a particular design plan intended to mitigate the potential impact on the neighboring properties.

Mr. Sandifer asked about the difference between the submittal requirements for a general and a conditional use rezoning request.

Mr. Tyson explained that a conditional use rezoning request requires a slightly higher fee and allows the potential attachment of approval conditions that can exclude specific land uses that may otherwise be permitted in a general zoning district as well as to require developments to follow a specifically pre-approved site or subdivision plan. He noted that the primary difference for the developer is the expense required to have the proposed site or subdivision plan prepared by a land surveyor or engineer without any guarantee that the property will actually be allowed to be developed at the proposed density.

Mr. Cecil T. Williams, Jr. of the C. T. Williams Corporation and Fairfield Realty Down East LLC, the applicant, addressed the Board in support of the request. He stated that the nine lots previously developed as Phase I of the Worthington Farm Subdivision had all been developed and sold. He noted that the water taps for the Phase II expansion of the Worthington Farm Subdivision had been installed and that the proposed sewer lines should begin installation within the next week. He explained that the intent of the rezoning request was to allow the development of 10,000 square foot lots with 75-foot lot widths for the interior of the subject property. He stated that because the interior lots were intended to be served by a new sewer lift station, a smaller lot size was needed in order to make that economically feasible.

Mr. Sandifer questioned the potential impact of the requested rezoning on the owners of the previously subdivided nine lots, particularly if the immediately adjacent rezoned property were to be developed with townhouses.

Mr. Williams stated that the purchasers were aware that they were buying property within the larger, planned Worthington Farm Subdivision and were shown a potential layout by the homebuilder, D. R. Horton. Additionally, he noted that he has no desire to develop anything on the subject property other than single-family residential homes as specified in his contract with D. R. Horton.

The Board members discussed the general size of homes that could be constructed on 10,000 square foot lots.

Mrs. Linda Jordan Shepherd addressed the Board in opposition to the request based on her feeling that the requested lot size was too small for the area and that it will devalue the surrounding properties.

Mr. John Shepherd, Jr. addressed the Board in opposition to the request, noting the number of times that the applicant's previous requests for more dense development have been denied and stating that none of the surrounding property owners want the subject property to become a high density development.

Mrs. Yvonne N. Pridgen addressed the Board in opposition to the request, stating her concerns about the potentially increased residential density and the related increased traffic in the area as well as her concerns about the loss of local farmland.

Mr. Tyson read an email submitted to the Board in opposition to the request by Mr. Billy D. Winstead, the owner of the property located at 6708 Jordan Rd, which stated that the subject property was already appropriately zoned for the surrounding area.

Mr. Tyson read an email submitted to the Board in opposition to the request by Mr. Henry W. Pridgen and Mrs. Yvonne N. Pridgen, the owners of the property located at 6777 & 6785 Vickers Rd, which



stated their concerns regarding higher density development that could devalue adjacent properties, inadequate roads for the increased traffic, and the need to be good stewards of our natural resources.

Mr. Tyson read an email submitted to the Board in opposition to the request by Mr. John Shepherd, Jr. and Mrs. Linda Jordan Shepherd, the owners of the property located at 7290 Jordan Rd, which stated that no further rezoning of the subject property should be allowed and that the area should be kept rural to maintain the peace and tranquility of agricultural life. It further cited concerns about the potential development of manufactured homes or boarding houses, increased traffic dangers, a greater risk of crime, and a decrease of adjacent property values.

Mr. Tyson read an email submitted to the Board in opposition to the request by Ms. Betsy Schrum, the owner of a property located on Ferrell Rd, which stated her desire to preserve the rural way of life enjoyed by her longtime neighbors in that area without the intrusion of higher density residential development.

Mr. Tyson read an email submitted to the Board in opposition to the request by Ms. Laura Godwin, the owner of the property located at 7746 Jordan Rd, which stated her concern that approval of the rezoning would disrupt the rural and tranquil way of life to which she and her neighbors are accustomed.

Mr. Tyson read an email submitted to the Board in opposition to the request by Alissa Miller and Brien Mitchell, the owners of the property located at 7094 Jordan Rd, which stated their concerns about the potential increase in traffic and population and the potential decrease in privacy and property values.

**BOARD ACTION: Mr. Sandifer offered a motion, which was duly seconded by Mr. Brown, to recommend the following consistency statement related to General Rezoning Request Z-200502 for consideration by the Nash County Board of Commissioners:**

**General Rezoning Request Z-200502 is:**

- (1) Not reasonable or in the public interest at this time because approval of the request would be inconsistent with the following previous action of the Board of Commissioners:**
  - (a) The previous denial on August 6, 2018 of a request to rezone a 48 acre tract (including the approximately 44 acre tract which is the subject of the current request) to the R-15 (Medium Density Residential) Zoning District, due to the Board's determination that the 15,000 square foot minimum lot area required by the proposed R-15 Zoning District would permit residential development too dense to be compatible with the 20,000 to 30,000 square foot minimum lot areas required by the surrounding R-20 and R-30 Zoning Districts (Case File #Z-180701.)**
  - (b) The reduced 10,000 square foot minimum lot area requirement and the 75 foot minimum lot width requirement of the currently proposed R-10 (High Density Residential) Zoning District would permit residential development even more dense than the previously denied R-15 Zoning District.**
- (2) Consistent with most of the recommendations of the Nash County Land Development Plan (LDP) because:**
  - (a) The LDP designates the subject property as Suburban Growth Area.**
  - (b) The LDP supports rezoning of property located within the Suburban Growth Area for higher density residential development with minimum required lot sizes smaller than 20,000 square feet where both public water and public sewer services are available.**

- (c) The subject property has access to Nash County public water service via an existing four-inch waterline installed along the immediately adjacent Jordan Road public right-of-way.
- (d) The subject property has potential access to Town of Sharpsburg municipal sewer service via an existing sewer force main line installed along the immediately adjacent Jordan Road public right-of-way through a recently amended utility agreement between the applicant and the town permitting the connection of up to 25 lots using individual septic tank effluent pump (S.T.E.P.) stations as well as the connection of up to 75 additional lots to be served by a proposed new sewage lift/pump station.
- (e) Permitting higher density residential development will help to accommodate the significant residential growth anticipated by the LDP for the Suburban Growth Area. However, the request is not consistent with one other recommendation of the Nash County Land Development Plan (LDP) because:
  - (a) The LDP recommends the potential use of buffers and/or other design features when permitting higher density residential development with minimum required lot sizes smaller than 20,000 square feet on property located within the Suburban Growth Area in order to minimize the potential impacts on neighboring properties.
  - (b) Due to the general (as opposed to conditional use) nature of this rezoning request, no specific zoning conditions may be attached to its approval that would restrict the developer to a particular development plan or specific design features intended to mitigate the potential impacts of the higher density residential development on the neighboring properties.
- (3) Unreasonable “spot zoning” because:
  - (a) The proposed R-10 (High Density Residential) Zoning District would substantially increase the permitted residential development density by reducing the allowable minimum lot area by half from the currently required 20,000 square feet per lot to 10,000 square feet per lot and by reducing the required minimum lot width from 100 feet per lot to 75 feet per lot.
  - (b) The proposed R-10 (High Density Residential) Zoning District would permit the development of the following land uses that are currently excluded on either one or both of the subject tracts: boarding and rooming houses, congregate care facilities, group care facilities, manufactured home parks, multi-family dwellings (including apartments and condominiums), and/or townhouse dwellings.

The motion was carried with a split vote of 4 to 3 with Mr. Tobias, Mr. Smith, and Ms. Edwards voting in opposition.

**BOARD ACTION:** Mr. Sandifer offered a motion, which was duly seconded by Mr. Brown, to recommend denial of General Rezoning Request Z-200502 to rezone approximately 66 acres located on both sides of Jordan Road from R-20 (Medium Density Residential) and R-20-CU (Medium Density Residential Conditional Use) to R-10 (High Density Residential) for consideration by the Nash County Board of Commissioners.

The motion was carried with a split vote of 4 to 3 with Mr. Tobias, Mr. Smith, and Ms. Edwards voting in opposition.

## 7. Other Business.

Mr. Tyson provided an update on the upcoming Board member term expirations, noting that both Mr. Smith's and Ms. Edwards's second three-year terms as well as Ms. Pulley's first three-year term were

scheduled to expire on June 30, 2020. He announced that the Board of Commissioners would be considering appointments at their upcoming June 1, 2020 regular meeting and that all three current Board members were eligible for re-appointment. He noted that Ms. Pulley had already expressed her willingness to serve an additional term if re-appointed.

Mr. Smith stated his willingness to serve an additional term if re-appointed and Ms. Edwards stated that she would contact Mr. Tyson with her answer later in the week.

**8. Adjournment.**

There being no further business, Chairman Breedlove adjourned the meeting at 8:40 p.m.